STREAM INVENTORY REPORT

CABIN MEADOWS CREEK

INTRODUCTION

A stream inventory was conducted during the summer of 2002 on Cabin Meadows Creek. The survey began 2,477 feet (0.47 mile) above the confluence with Houston Creek and extended upstream 1.75 miles.

Cabin Meadows Creek inventory was conducted in two parts: habitat inventory and biological inventory. The objective of the habitat inventory was to document the habitat available to anadromous salmonids in Cabin Meadows Creek. The objective of the biological inventory was to document the presence and distribution of juvenile salmonid species.

The objective of this report is to document the current habitat conditions and recommend options for the potential enhancement of habitat for Chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead trout. Recommendations for habitat improvement activities are based upon target habitat values suitable for salmonids in California's north coast streams.

WATERSHED OVERVIEW

Cabin Meadows Creek is a tributary to Houston Creek, a tributary to the East Fork Scott River, located in Siskiyou County, California (Map 1). Cabin Meadows Creek's legal description at the confluence with Houston Creek is T41N R7W S23. Its location is 41°23′10″ north latitude and 122°37′46″ west longitude. Cabin Meadows Creek is a first order stream and has approximately 5 miles of blue line stream according to the USGS China Mountain and South China Mountain 7.5 minute quadrangles. Cabin Meadows Creek drains a watershed of approximately 4.2 square miles. Elevations range from about 4800 feet at the mouth of the creek to about 6900 feet in the headwater areas. Douglas fir/grass/oak/mixed hardwood/mixed conifer forest dominates the watershed. The watershed is primarily privately owned and national forest land and is managed for timber production/rangeland/recreation. Vehicle access exists via Highway 3 or the Gazelle - Callahan Road.

METHODS

The habitat inventory conducted in Cabin Meadows Creek follows the methodology presented in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual* (Flosi et al, 1998). The California Conservation Corps (CCC) Technical Advisors, and Watershed Stewards Project/AmeriCorps (WSP/AmeriCorps) Members that conducted the inventory were trained in standardized habitat inventory methods by the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG). This inventory was conducted by a two-person team.

SAMPLING STRATEGY

The inventory uses a method that samples approximately 10% of the habitat units within the survey reach. All habitat units included in the survey are classified according to habitat type and their lengths are measured. All pool units are measured for maximum depth, depth of pool tail

crest (measured in the thalweg), dominant substrate composing the pool tail crest, and embeddedness. Habitat unit types encountered for the first time are measured for all the parameters and characteristics on the field form. Additionally, from the ten habitat units on each field form page, one is randomly selected for complete measurement. All pools except step-pools are fully sampled.

HABITAT INVENTORY COMPONENTS

A standardized habitat inventory form has been developed for use in California stream surveys and can be found in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. This form was used in Cabin Meadows Creek to record measurements and observations. There are eleven components to the inventory form.

1. Flow:

Flow is measured in cubic feet per second (cfs) at the bottom of the stream survey reach using a Marsh-McBirney Model 2000 flow meter.

2. Channel Type:

Channel typing is conducted according to the classification system developed and revised by David Rosgen (1985 rev. 1994). This methodology is described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Channel typing is conducted simultaneously with habitat typing and follows a standard form to record measurements and observations. There are five measured parameters used to determine channel type: 1) water slope gradient, 2) entrenchment, 3) width/depth ratio, 4) substrate composition, and 5) sinuosity. Channel characteristics are measured using a clinometer, hand level, hip chain, tape measure, and a stadia rod.

3. Temperatures:

Both water and air temperatures are measured and recorded at every tenth habitat unit. The time of the measurement is also recorded. Both temperatures are taken in degrees Fahrenheit at the middle of the habitat unit and within one foot of the water surface.

4. Habitat Type:

Habitat typing uses the 24 habitat classification types defined by McCain and others (1988). Habitat units are numbered sequentially and assigned a type identification number selected from a standard list of 24 habitat types. Dewatered units are labeled "dry". Cabin Meadows Creek habitat typing used standard basin level measurement criteria. These parameters require that the minimum length of a described habitat unit must be equal to or greater than the stream's mean wetted width. All measurements are in feet to the nearest tenth. Habitat characteristics are measured using a clinometer, hip chain, and stadia rod.

5. Embeddedness:

The depth of embeddedness of the cobbles in pool tail-out areas is measured by the percent of the cobble that is surrounded or buried by fine sediment. In Cabin Meadows Creek, embeddedness was ocularly estimated. The values were recorded using the following ranges: 0

-25% (value 1), 26 - 50% (value 2), 51 - 75% (value 3) and 76 - 100% (value 4). Additionally, a value of 5 was assigned to tail-outs deemed unsuited for spawning due to inappropriate substrate particle size, bedrock, or other considerations.

6. Shelter Rating:

Instream shelter is composed of those elements within a stream channel that provide salmonids protection from predation, reduce water velocities so fish can rest and conserve energy, and allow separation of territorial units to reduce density related competition. The shelter rating is calculated for each fully-described habitat unit by multiplying shelter value and percent cover. Using an overhead view, a quantitative estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered is made. All cover is then classified according to a list of nine cover types. In Cabin Meadows Creek, a standard qualitative shelter value of 0 (none), 1 (low), 2 (medium), or 3 (high) was assigned according to the complexity of the cover. Thus, shelter ratings can range from 0-300 and are expressed as mean values by habitat types within a stream.

7. Substrate Composition:

Substrate composition ranges from silt/clay sized particles to boulders and bedrock elements. In all fully-described habitat units, dominant and sub-dominant substrate elements were ocularly estimated using a list of seven size classes and recorded as a one and two, respectively. In addition, the dominant substrate composing the pool tail-outs is recorded for each pool.

8. Canopy:

Stream canopy density was estimated using modified handheld spherical densiometers as described in the *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*. Canopy density relates to the amount of stream shaded from the sun. In Cabin Meadows Creek, an estimate of the percentage of the habitat unit covered by canopy was made from the center of approximately every third unit in addition to every fully-described unit, giving an approximate 30% sub-sample. In addition, the area of canopy was estimated ocularly into percentages of coniferous or deciduous trees.

9. Bank Composition and Vegetation:

Bank composition elements range from bedrock to bare soil. However, the stream banks are usually covered with grass, brush, or trees. These factors influence the ability of stream banks to withstand winter flows. In Cabin Meadows Creek the dominant composition type and the dominant vegetation type of both the right and left banks for each fully-described unit were selected from the habitat inventory form. Additionally, the percent of each bank covered by vegetation (including downed trees, logs, and rootwads) was estimated and recorded.

10. Large Woody Debris Count:

Large woody debris (LWD) is an important component of fish habitat and an element in channel forming processes. In each habitat unit all pieces of LWD partially or entirely below the elevation of bankfull discharge are counted and recorded. The minimum size to be considered is twelve inches in diameter and six feet in length. The LWD count is presented by reach and is expressed as an average per 100.

11. Average Bankfull Width:

Bankfull width can vary greatly in the course of a channel type stream reach. This is especially true in very long reaches. Bankfull width can be a factor in habitat components like canopy density, water temperature, and pool depths. Frequent measurements taken at riffle crests (velocity crossovers) are needed to accurately describe reach widths. At the first appropriate velocity crossover that occurs after the beginning of a new stream survey page (ten habitat units), bankfull width is measured and recorded in the appropriate header block of the page. These widths are presented as an average for the channel type reach.

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

Biological sampling during the stream inventory is used to determine fish species and their distribution in the stream. Fish presence was observed from the stream banks in Cabin Meadows Creek. In addition, eighteen sites were snorkel dived. These sampling techniques are discussed in the California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data from the habitat inventory form are entered into Habitat 8.4, a dBASE 4.2 data entry program developed by Tim Curtis, Inland Fisheries Division, California Department of Fish and Game. This program processes and summarizes the data, and produces the following six tables:

- Riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types
- Habitat types and measured parameters
- Pool types
- Maximum pool depths by habitat types
- Dominant substrates by habitat types
- Mean percent shelter by habitat types

Graphics are produced from the tables using Microsoft Excel. Graphics developed for Cabin Meadows Creek include:

- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by percent occurrence
- Riffle, flatwater, pool habitats by total length
- Total habitat types by percent occurrence
- Pool types by percent occurrence
- Total pools by maximum depths
- Embeddedness
- Pool cover by cover type
- Dominant substrate in low gradient riffles
- Mean percent canopy
- Bank composition by composition type
- Bank vegetation by vegetation type

HABITAT INVENTORY RESULTS

* ALL TABLES AND GRAPHS ARE LOCATED AT THE END OF THE REPORT *

The habitat inventory of September 17, 18, and 25, 2002, was conducted by T. Behm and S. Maurer (DFG). The total length of the stream surveyed was 9261 feet with an additional 621 feet of side channel.

Although stream flow was not measured on Cabin Meadows Creek on the dates of this survey, a stream flow measurement on September 30, 2002 (snorkel survey date) showed a stream flow of 0.35 cubic feet per second (cfs).

Cabin Meadows Creek is a B3 channel type with an average bankfull width of 16.5 feet for the entire 9261 feet of the stream surveyed. B3 channels are moderately entrenched riffle dominated channels with infrequently spaced pools, very stable plan and profile, stable banks on moderate gradients with low width /depth ratios and cobble dominant substrates.

Water temperatures taken during the survey period ranged from 52 to 57 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 52 to 77 degrees Fahrenheit.

Table 1 summarizes the Level II riffle, flatwater, and pool habitat types. Based on frequency of occurrence there were 56% riffle units, 30% flatwater units, and 13% pool units (Graph 1). Based on total length of Level II habitat types there were 65% riffle units, 30% flatwater units, and 5% pool units (Graph 2).

Fourteen Level IV habitat types were identified (Table 2). The most frequent habitat types by percent occurrence were high gradient riffle, 31%; step run, 23%; and cascade, 18% (Graph 3). Based on percent total length, high gradient riffle made up 33%, step run 21%, and cascade 14%.

A total of 24 pools were identified (Table 3). Main and scour pools were the most frequently encountered, each at 42%, (Graph 4) and comprised 86% of the total length of all pools.

Table 4 is a summary of maximum pool depths by pool habitat types. Pool quality for salmonids increases with depth. Twenty-two of the 24 pools (92%) had a depth of two feet or greater (Graph 5).

The depth of cobble embeddedness was estimated at pool tail-outs. Of the 24 pool tail-outs measured, 3 had a value of 1 (12.5%); 5 had a value of 2 (21%); 3 had a value of 3 (12.5%); 0 had a value of 4 (0%); and 13 had a value of 5 (54%) (Graph 6). On this scale, a value of 1 indicates the highest quality of spawning substrate.

A shelter rating was calculated for each habitat unit and expressed as a mean value for each habitat type within the survey using a scale of 0-300. Riffle habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 42, flatwater habitat types had a mean shelter rating of 19, and pool habitats had a mean shelter rating of 30 (Table 1). Of the pool types, the backwater pools had the highest mean shelter rating at 54. Main channel pools had a mean shelter rating of 20 (Table 3).

Reach one was not surveyed due to no landowner permission. In reach two, Cabin Meadows Creek had a total of 147 pieces of LWD. This is an average of 1.49 pieces of LWD per 100'.

Cabin Medaus

Table 5 summarizes mean percent cover by habitat type. Boulders are the dominant cover type in Cabin Meadows Creek. Graph 7 describes the pool cover in Cabin Meadows Creek. Boulders are the dominant pool cover type followed by whitewater and small woody debris.

Table 6 summarizes the dominant substrate by habitat type. Graph 8 depicts the dominant substrate observed in pool tail-outs. Boulders were the dominant substrate observed in 58 % of pool tail-outs while small cobble was the next most frequently observed substrate type, at 29%.

The mean percent canopy density for the surveyed length of Cabin Meadows Creek was 36%. The mean percentages of deciduous and coniferous trees were 4% and 32%, respectively. Sixty-four percent of the canopy was open. Graph 9 describes the mean percent canopy in Cabin Meadows Creek.

For the stream reach surveyed, the mean percent right bank vegetated was 35%. The mean percent left bank vegetated was 46%. The dominant elements composing the structure of the stream banks consisted of 7% bedrock, 54% boulder, 32% cobble/gravel, and 6% sand/silt/clay (Graph 10). Brush was the dominant vegetation type observed in 45% of the units surveyed. Additionally, 41% of the units surveyed had coniferous trees as the dominant vegetation type, and 4% had deciduous trees as the dominant vegetation (Graph 11).

BIOLOGICAL INVENTORY RESULTS

Six sites were snorkel-dived for species composition and distribution in Cabin Meadows Creek on September 30, 2002. Water temperatures taken during the dive period ranged from 46 to 49 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 46 to 48 degrees Fahrenheit. The sites were sampled by S. Maurer (DFG).

The first site sampled was habitat unit #15, a lateral scour pool – bedrock formed, located approximately 659 feet from the survey start.

The second site sampled was habitat unit #17, a low gradient riffle, located approximately 752 feet from the survey start.

The third site sampled was habitat unit #18, a step run, located approximately 793 feet from the survey start.

The fourth site sampled was habitat unit #152, a high gradient riffle, located approximately 8093 feet from the survey start.

The fifth site sampled was habitat unit #153, a step run, located approximately 8109 feet from the survey start.

The sixth site sampled was habitat unit #155, a mid-channel pool, located approximately 8321 feet from the survey start.

The following chart displays the information yielded from these sites:

Date	Site	Approx. Dist.	Hab. Unit	Hab.	Reach	Channel	St	eelhea	ad		Coho	,
Date	#	from start (ft.)	#	Туре	#	type	YOY	1+	2+	YOY	1+	2+
9/30/02	1	659	15	5.5	2	В3	0	2	0	0	0	0
9/30/02	2	752	17	1.1	2	В3	0	0	0	0	0	0
9/30/02	3	793	18	3.4	2	В3	0	0	0	0	0	0
9/30/02	4	8093	152	1.2	2	В3	0	0	0	0	0	0
9/30/02	5	8109	153	3.4	2	В3	0	0	0	0	0	0
9/30/02	6	8321	155	4.2	2	В3	0	2	0	0	0	0

DISCUSSION

Cabin Meadows Creek is a B3 channel type for the entire survey length of 9,261 feet. The suitability of B3 channel types for fish habitat improvement structures is as follows: Excellent for plunge weirs, boulder clusters and bank-placed boulders, single and opposing wing-deflectors, and log cover.

The water temperatures recorded on the survey days September 17, 18, and 25, 2003, ranged from 52 to 57 degrees Fahrenheit. Air temperatures ranged from 52 to 77 degrees Fahrenheit. To make any further conclusions, temperatures would need to be monitored throughout the warm summer months, and more extensive biological sampling would need to be conducted.

Flatwater habitat types comprised 56% of the total length of this survey, riffles 30%, and pools 13%. The pools are relatively deep, with 22 of the 24 (92%) pools having a maximum depth greater than 2 feet. In general, pool enhancement projects are considered when primary pools comprise less than 40% of the length of total stream habitat. In first and second order streams, a primary pool is defined to have a maximum depth of at least two feet, occupy at least half the width of the low flow channel, and be as long as the low flow channel width. Installing structures that will increase or deepen pool habitat is recommended for locations where their installation will not be threatened by high stream energy, or where their installation will not conflict with the modification of the numerous log debris accumulations (LDA's) in the stream.

Eight of the 24 pool tail-outs measured had embeddedness ratings of 1 or 2. Cobble embeddedness measured to be 25% or less, a rating of 1, is considered to indicate good quality spawning substrate for salmon and steelhead. Sediment sources in Cabin Meadows Creek should be mapped and rated according to their potential sediment yields, and control measures should be taken.

Eight of the 24 pool tail-outs measured had gravel or small cobble as the dominant substrate. This is generally considered poor for spawning salmonids.

The mean shelter rating for pools was 30. The shelter rating in the flatwater habitats was 19. A pool shelter rating of approximately 100 is desirable. The amount of cover that now exists is being provided primarily by boulders in all habitat types. Additionally, whitewater and small

woody debris contribute a small amount. Log and root wad cover structures in the pool and flatwater habitats would enhance both summer and winter salmonid habitat. Log cover structure provides rearing fry with protection from predation, rest from water velocity, and also divides territorial units to reduce density related competition.

The mean percent canopy density for the stream was 36%. Reach 1 was not surveyed while Reach 2 had a canopy density of 36%. In general, revegetation projects are considered when canopy density is less than 80%.

The percentage of right and left bank covered with vegetation was moderate at 35% and 46%, respectively. In areas of stream bank erosion or where bank vegetation is not at acceptable levels, planting endemic species of coniferous and deciduous trees, in conjunction with bank stabilization, is recommended.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) Cabin Meadows Creek should be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream.
- The limited water temperature data available suggest that maximum temperatures are within the acceptable range for juvenile salmonids. To establish more complete and meaningful temperature regime information, 24-hour monitoring during the July and August temperature extreme period should be performed for 3 to 5 years.
- Where feasible, design and engineer pool enhancement structures to increase the number of pools. This must be done where the banks are stable or in conjunction with stream bank armor to prevent erosion.
- 4) Increase woody cover in the pools and flatwater habitat units. Most of the existing cover is from boulders. Adding high quality complexity with woody cover is desirable.
- Inventory and map sources of stream bank erosion and prioritize them according to present and potential sediment yield. Identified sites should then be treated to reduce the amount of fine sediments entering the stream.
- Active and potential sediment sources related to the road system need to be identified, mapped, and treated according to their potential for sediment yield to the stream and its tributaries.
- Increase the canopy on Cabin Meadows Creek by planting willow, alder, and Douglas fir along the stream where shade canopy is not at acceptable levels. The reaches above this survey section should be inventoried and treated as well, since the water flowing here is affected from upstream. In many cases, planting will need to be coordinated to follow bank stabilization or upslope erosion control projects.
- 8) Suitable size spawning substrate on Cabin Meadows Creek is limited to relatively few reaches. Projects should be designed at suitable sites to trap and sort spawning gravel.
- 9) There are several log debris accumulations present on Cabin Meadows Creek that are retaining large quantities of fine sediment. The modification of these debris

- accumulations is desirable, but must be done carefully, over time, to avoid excessive sediment loading in downstream reaches.
- There are sections where the stream is being impacted from cattle trampling the riparian zone. Alternatives should be explored with the grazier and developed if possible.
- Due to the high gradient of the stream, access for migrating salmonids is an ongoing potential problem. Good water temperature and flow regimes exist in the stream and it offers good conditions for rearing fish. Fish passage should be monitored and improved where possible.

COMMENTS AND LANDMARKS

The following landmarks and possible problem sites were noted. All distances are approximate and taken from the beginning of the survey reach.

- 0' Begin survey 2,477 feet (0.47 mile) above confluence with Houston Creek at USFS property line. Channel type is B3.
- 182' Seep, right bank.
- 247' Flow taken here 9/30/202; 0.35 cfs. Seep, right bank.
- 659' Dive 1.
- 752' Dive 2.
- 793' Dive 3.
- 1,050' Seep, right bank.
- 1,259' Raw bank, right. Approximately 10' high by 70' long.
- 1,678' Raw bank, left.
- 1,990 Flow subsurface.
- 2,106' Seep, right bank.
- 2,890' Raw bank, left. Road fill.
- 3,026' Road on left bank washed out by stream.
- 3,604' Stream cut into road bed, left bank.
- 4,626' Raw bank, left, 50' long.
- 4,819' Raw bank, left.
- 7,109' Raw bank, left.

- 7,482' Raw bank, left. Road above delivering sediment to stream.
- 8,093' Dive 4.
- 8,109' Dive 5.
- 8,321' Dive 6.
- 8,812' Pacific Giant Salamander.
- 8,830' Fish observed.
- 8,879' Fish observed.
- 8,928' Low water road crossing.
- 9,261' End of Survey at USFS property line. No further access.

REFERENCES

Flosi, G., Downie, S., Hopelain, J., Bird, M., Coey, R., and Collins, B. 1998. *California Salmonid Stream Habitat Restoration Manual*, 3rd edition. California Department of Fish and Game, Sacramento, California.

LEVEL III and LEVEL IV HABITAT TYPES

RIFFLE	(T. (CD.)	ra an	(1)
Low Gradient Riffle High Gradient Riffle	(LGR) (HGR)	[1.1] [1.2]	{ 1} { 2}
CASCADE			
Cascade Bedrock Sheet	(CAS) (BRS)	[2.1] [2.2]	{ 3} {24}
FLAT WATER			•
Pocket Water Glide	(POW)	[3.1]	{21}
Run	(GLD) (RUN)	[3.2] [3.3]	{14} {15}
Step Run	(SRN)	[3.4]	{16}
Edgewater	(EDW)	[3.5]	{18}
MAIN CHANNEL POOLS			
Trench Pool	(TRP)	[4.1]	{8}
Mid-Channel Pool	(MCP)	[4.2]	{17}
Channel Confluence Pool Step Pool	(CCP) (STP)	[4.3] [4.4]	{19} {23}
5.00	(DII)	[7.7]	(23)
SCOUR POOLS			
Corner Pool	(CRP)	[5.1]	{22}
Lateral Scour Pool - Log Enhanced Lateral Scour Pool - Root Wad Enhanced	(LSL) (LSR)	[5.2] [5.3]	{10} {11}
Lateral Scour Pool - Bedrock Formed	(LSR)	[5.4]	{12}
Lateral Scour Pool - Boulder Formed	(LSBo)	[5.5]	{20}
Plunge Pool	(PLP)	[5.6]	{9}
BACKWATER POOLS			
Secondary Channel Pool	(SCP)	[6.1]	{4}
Backwater Pool - Boulder Formed	(BPB)	[6.2]	{ 5 }
Backwater Pool - Root Wad Formed	(BPR)	[6.3]	{ 6 }
Backwater Pool - Log Formed Dammed Pool	(BPL) (DPL)	[6.4] [6.5]	{ 7 } {13}
	(DIL)	[0,5]	(13)
ADDITIONAL UNIT DESIGNATIONS	francisco en esta		
Dry Culvert	(DRY)	[7.0]	
Not Surveyed	(CUL) (NS)	[8.0] [9.0]	
Not Surveyed due to a marsh	(MAR)	[9.0]	
•	Ç	r	

TABLE 8. FISH HABITAT INVENTORY DATA SUMMARY

STREAM NAME: Cabin Meadows Creek SAMPLE DATES: 09/17/02 to 09/25/02

STREAM LENGTH: 11738 ft. LOCATION OF STREAM MOUTH: USGS Quad Map: Gazelle Mt

Latitude: 41°23'9" Legal Description: T41NR07WS23 Longitude: 122°37'51"

SUMMARY OF FISH HABITAT ELEMENTS BY STREAM REACH

STREAM REACH 1

Channel Type: N/A Channel Length: 0 ft.

Total Pool Mean Depth: **** ft.

Base Flow: 0.4 cfs

- °F Air: - °F Water:

Dom. Bank Veg.: Brush

Vegetative Cover: ********

Dom. Bank Substrate: Boulder

Canopy Density: ******* Coniferous Component: *******

Riffle/flatwater Mean Width: ******Deciduous Component: ******* Pools by Stream Length: *******

Pools >= 3 ft.deep: ******** Mean Pool Shelter Rtn: ********

Dom. Shelter: Undercut Banks Occurrence of LOD: ********

Dry Channel: 0 ft.

Embeddness Value: 1. ******* 2. ****** 3. ******* 4. ******** 5. *******

Length of stream section not surveyed within survey reach and not included in above totals or calculations: 2477 ft.

STREAM REACH 2

Channel Type: B3

Channel Length: 9261 ft.

Riffle/flatwater Mean Width: 11 ft.

Total Pool Mean Depth: 1.3 ft.

Base Flow: 0.4 cfs

Water: 52 - 57 °F Air: 52 -77 °F

Dom. Bank Veg.: Brush Vegetative Cover: 41%

Dom. Bank Substrate: Boulder

Canopy Density: 36%

Coniferous Component: 90%

Deciduous Component: 10% Pools by Stream Length: 5%

Pools >=3 ft.deep: 24% Mean Pool Shelter Rtn: 27 Dom. Shelter: Boulders Occurrence of LOD: 2%

Dry Channel: 0 ft.

Embeddness Value: 1. 13% 2.21% 3. 13% 4. 0% 5. 54%

Reach 2 - 147 pieces total

1.49 pieces por 100'.

Reach 2 - 16.5

Drainage: EAST FORK SCOTT RIVER

Table 1 - SUMMARY OF RIFFLE, FLATWATER, AND POOL HABITAT TYPES

Survey Dates: 09/17/02 to 09/25/02

HABITAT	UNITS	HABITAT	HABITAT	MEAN	TOTAL	TOTAL PERCENT	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	ESTIMATED	MEAN	MEAN ESTIMATED	MEAN	MEAN
UNITS	FULLY	TYPE	PERCENT	LENGTH	LENGTH	TOTAL	WIDTH	DEPTH	AREA	TOTAL	VOLUME	TOTAL	RESIDUAL	SHELTER
£.,	MEASURED		OCCURRENCE	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.) LENGTH	(ft.) (ft.)	(ft.)	(eq.ft.)	AREA	AREA (cu.ft.)	VOLUME	POOL VOL	RATING
										(sq.ft.)		(cu.ft.)	(cu.ft.) (cu.ft.)	
100	16	RIFFLE	ស	& 4.	6437	65	10.9	9.0	365	36523	215	21493	0	4.2
5.4	7	FLATWATER	30	S S	2981	30	10.4	0.7	908	16703	221	11933	0	13
24	24	POOL	23	<u>ო</u>	464	щ	11.4	4	193	4623	261	6265	185	30
		***************************************		- The same of the								Andrew Company of the		
TOTAL	TOTAL			TOTAL	TOTAL LENGTH					TOTAL AREA	ŭ	TOTAL VOL.		
UNITS	STIMD				(£t.)					(sq. ft.)		(cu. ft.)		
178	47				9882					57849		39691		

Drainage: EAST FORK SCOTT RIVER

Table 2 - SUMMARY OF HABITAT TYPES AND MEASURED PARAMETERS

Survey Dates: 09/17/02 to 09/25/02

HABITAT	UNITE	HABITAT	HABITAT	MEAN	TOTAL	TOTAL	MEAN	MEAN N	MEAN MAXIMUM	MEAN	TOTAL	MEAN	TOTAL	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
UNITS	FULLY	TYPE	OCCURRENCE]	LENGTH	LENGTH	LENGTH	WIDTH	DEPTH	DEPTH	AREA	AREA	AREA VOLUME	VOLUME	VOLUME RESIDUAL SHELTER	SHELTER	CANOPY
	MEASURED										EST.		EST.	POOL VOL RATING	RATING	
#			de	ft.	ñ	o,to	## f.	ft.	ft.	ag.ft.	sq.ft. sq.ft. cu.ft.	cu.ft.	cu, ft,	cu.ft.		de
American resolution of the contract of the con	2	LGR	4	3.7	297	74	7	0.5	8.0	206	1650	63	746	O	43	8.8
ស	7	HGR	31	74	4132	e	7.5	9.0	₩. H	486	27192	2.98	16706	0	5.4	36
32	ιΩ	CAS	18	ស	1747	14	Ø	0.0	2.1	305	8926	168	5375	0	37	មា
ঝ	73	BRS	N	6 5	261	17	00	9.0	1,4	253	1012	162	648	٥	នេ et	W 23
ત	H	GID	г	6. 6.	29	٥	œ	B. O	Н Ю	220	220	176	176	0	in H	80 171
11	73	RUN	w	2.7	298	64	12	0.7	2.0	223	2451	148	1632	0	138	34
44	47	SRN	23	63	2654	21	10	0.7	H.	375	15742	268	11275	0	20	38
10	10	MCP	Ø	6 Н	187	C4	12	<u>+</u>	47 E)	198	1982	293	2934	227	20	33
73	73	LSBk	ત્ન	22	4. E	0	11	ч п	4.0	212	424	325	650	261	138	2.9
W	73	LSBo	런	64	4.	0	10	1.0	2.6	208	416	199	60 60 60	147	20	38
W	vo	PLP	М	21	126	r-l	-	4	ta ta	194	1166	238	1425	179	38	34
М	73	SCP	_r ŧ	14	27	0	13 13 13	. 4	2,0	173	345	230	460	0	48	37
rt	el	BPB	त्न	6 H	4	0	σ ₀	٥. ٢	2.0	137	137	137	137	33	60	43
r 1	ri	DPL	- 4	8	(C)	0	10	1.7	7	153	153	260	260	214	90	43
TOTAL	TOTAL				LENGTH	and a section to			Avrenmententhalise totalesmisteratura		AREA	TOT	TOTAL VOL.			
STIM	STIND				(£t.)					~	(sg.ft)		(on tt)			
178	47				9882						62658		42824			

Drainage: EAST FORK SCOTT RIVER

Table 3 - SUMMARY OF POOL TYPES

TYPES Survey Dates: 09/17/02 to 09/25/02

	MEAN SHELTER RATING	20 30 84	Market and the second s
	ll i	227 189 67	
	TOTAL VOLUMB EST. (cu.ft.)	2934 2474 857	TOTAL VOL. (cu.ft.) 6265
37'51"	MEAN VOLUME (cu.ft.)	293	Ţ
(TUDE:122°	TOTAL AREA EST. (8q.ft.)	1982 2006 635	TOTAL AREA (sq.ft.) 4623
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: T41NR07WS23 LATITUDE:41°23'9" LONGITUDE:122°37'51"	MEAN TOTAL MEAN TOTAL MEAN AREA AREA VOLUME VOLUME RESIDUAL. EST. FOOL VOI (eq.ft.) (eq.ft.) (cu.ft.) (cu.ft.) (cu.ft.)	198 201 159	T OT
TUDE: 41°	MEAN MEAN WIDTH DEPTH (ft.) (ft.)	4 2 6	
3 LATI	MEAN WIDTH (ft.)	12.1 10,4 12.3	
INRO7WS2:	TOTAL PERCENT ENGTH TOTAL LENGTH (ft.)	4. 4. 4. 0. 8. 4.	
PTION: T41	TOTAL ILENGTH	187 213 64	TOTAL LENGTH (ft.) 464
SAL DESCRI	MEAN LENGTH (ft.)	01 M W	TOTA
	HABITAT PERCENT OCCURRENCE	2 4 4 5 7 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Confluence Location: QUAD: Gazelle Mt	HABITAT	MAIN SCOUR BACKWATER	
e Location	UNITS FULLY MEASURED	100	TOTAL UNITS 24
Confluenc	HABITAT UNITS	100	TOTAL UNITS 24

Drainage: EAST FORK SCOTT RIVER Cabin Meadows Creek

Table 4 - SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM POOL DEPTHS BY POOL HABITAT TYPES

Survey Dates: 09/17/02 to 09/25/02

>=4 FEET PERCENT	DEPTH OCCURRENCE	10	00	0	٥	0	0	O		
>=4 FEET MAXIMUM	DEPTH (м	Н	0	0	0	0	0		
3-<4 FOOT PERCENT	DEPTH OCCURRENCE	10	0	0	m	0	0	0		
3-<4 FT. MAXIMUM	рертн (0	0	23	0	0	0	£	
2-<3 FOOT PERCENT	DEPTH OCCURRENCE	70	30	100	42	20	100	100	derina anno anno anno anno anno anno anno a	
2-<3 FT. MAXIMUM	рвртн о	7	H	73	4	r=4	н	m	~	
<pre><1 FOOT 1-<2 FT. 1-<2 FOOT 2-<3 FT. 2-<3 FOOT 3-<4 FT. 3-<4 FOOT BERCENT MAXIMUM PERCENT MAXIMUM PERCENT</pre>	DEPTH OCCURRENCE	10	0	0	0	20	0	0	***************************************	
1-<2 FT. MAXIMUM	оврти (н	0	0	0	rd	0	0	(~,	
<1 FOOT PERCENT	DEPTH OCCURRENCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
<1 FOOT	O HLAED	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0		
HABITAT PERCENT	OCCURRENCE	4.2	œ	50 0	23	800	4	ব		
HABITAT TYPE	+	MCP	LSBk	LSBo	d'Id	SCP	BPB	DPL	and the second s	
UNITS	and decident from the second s	10	N	73	9	7	r-i	П	TOTAL	UNITE

Drainage: EAST FORK SCOTT RIVER

Table 5 - SUMMARY OF MEAN PERCENT COVER BY HABITAT TYPE

COVER BY HABITAT TYPE Survey Dates: 09/17/02 to 09/25/02

	MEAN & BEDROCK LEDGES	0	0	00	en En	o	٥	0	œ	18	٥	ወነ	o	0	0
122°37'51"	MEAN \$ BOULDERS	09	5.4	ស	30	60	78	7.5	о Ф	75	ស	53	20	ស	45
LONGITUDE:	MEAN \$ WHITE WATER	25	25	30	35	īŪ	7.8	16	17	œ	m	18	0	ហ	10
LATITUDE: 41°23'9" LONGITUDE: 122°37'51"	MEAN \$ AQUATIC VEGETATION	0	н	o	0	0	0	W	0	0	0	0	1.5	0	0
	ROT TERR. MASS VEGETATION	е	H	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	រោ	0	0
T41NR07WS	MEAN % ROOT MASS VE	O	o	٥	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	w	មា	0	o
CKI PITON	MEAN \$ LWD	0	ч	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	н	20	C\$ 10	10
EGAL DES	Mean & Swd	10	H	មា	0	10	ស	ø	ល	0	00	æ	23	ស	90
AND THE METERS TO ALZELIE METERS DESCRIPTION: T41NR07MS23	MEAN & UNDERCUT BANKS	m	ю	0	0	23.53	0	0	r-4	0	(d 10	4	80	10	ស
o interior i	HABITAT TYPE	LGR	HGR	CAS	BRS	GID	RUN	SRN	MCP	LSBk	LSBo	PLP	SCP	BPB	DPL
	UNITS FULLY MEASURED	Li	7	ល	7	H	63	4	10	R	23	w	7	, 1	ч
	UNITS	ω	56	32	বা	ri	11	42	10	74	77	ø	N	ri	н

Drainage: EAST FORK SCOTT RIVER

Table 6 - SUMMARY OF DOMINANT SUBSTRATES BY HABITAT TYPE

Survey Dates: 09/17/02 to 09/25/02

% TOTAL BEDROCK	DOMINANI	0	04	0	0	0	40	100	0	17	0.60	0	0
* TOTAL BOULDER	DOMINANI SO	17	o c	, o	100	100	40	0	80	83	0	100	100
% TOTAL LG COBBLE	50	U.	0 0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% TOTAL SM COBBLE	0	0	o c	100	0	0	20	0	90	0	0	٥	0
% TOTAL GRAVEL DOMINANT	Q	٥	0 0	0	0	0	o	0	0	O	50	0	o
% TOTAL SAND DOMINANT	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	O	٥	0
HABITAT \$ TOTAL TYPE SILT/CLAY DOMINANT	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HABITAT TYPE	LGR	HGR	CAS BRS	GID	RUN	SRN	MCP	LSBk	LSBo	dīīd	SCP	BPB	DPL
UNITS FULLY MEASURED	2	r 1	ល ៧	Ħ	7	4	10	7	7	vo	7	e-4	H
TOTAL HABITAT UNITS		n (ል (አ. 4 ₄	;1	 	42	10	57	7	vo	N	Ħ	rH

Summary of Mean Percent Vegetative Cover for Entire Stream

Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Right bank	Left Bank
Canopy	Conifer	Deciduous	Open units	% Cover	% Cover
36	90	10	0	35.0	46.0

Note: Mean percent conifer and deciduous for the entire reach are means of canopy components from units with canopy values greater than zero.

Open units represent habitat units with zero canopy cover.

90 x 30 = 32 90 majferono 80 x 36 = 4 90 decidores 100 - 36 = 6420 spen sons

Mean Percentage of Dominant Substrate

Dominant Class of Substrate	Number Units Right Bank	Number Units Left Bank	Total Mean Percent
Bedrock	3	4	7.4
Boulder	25	26	54.3
Cobble/Gravel	15	15	31.9
Silt/clay	4	2	6.4

Mean Percentage of Dominant Vegetation

Dominant Class of Vegetation	Number Units Right Bank	Number Units Left Bank	Total Mean Percent
Grass	4	4	8.5
Brush	22	20	44.7
Decid. Trees	2	2	4.3
Conif. Trees	18	21	41.5
No Vegetation	1	0	1.1

Total stream average embeddedness value for pool 3.9

TABLE 10. MEAN PERCENT OF SHELTER COVER TYPES FOR ENTIRE STREAM

Stream: Cabin Meadows Creek Drainage: EAST FORK SCOTT RIVER

Survey Date: 09/17/02 to 09/25/02

=======================================			
	RIFFLES	FLATWATER	POOLS
			=========
UNDERCUT BANKS	3.5	3.6	5.2
SMALL WOODY DEBRIS	8.5	6.4	9.8
LARGE WOODY DEBRIS	1.9	0	5.4
ROOTS	1.0	0	2.0
TERRESTRIAL VEG	1.1	0	1.5
AQUATIC VEG	1.1	1.4	2.2
WHITEWATER	18.4	15	11.9
BOULDERS	58.6	73.6	55.7
BEDROCK LEDGES	6.0	0	6.3

Patrin Meadows Ceek - 2002

Embeldedness 30 Results


Graphio

Pool Tail Substrate Calculations


braph 8

$$A = 0 = 69.$$
 $B = 0 = 09.$
 $C = 1 = 49.0$
 $C = 1 = 49.0$

HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT OCCURENCE CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002




CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002 HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT TOTAL LENGTH




GRAPH 2

CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002
HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT OCCURRENCE



CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002 POOL HABITAT TYPES BY PERCENT OCCURRENCE




>=4 FEET CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002
MAXIMUM DEPTH IN POOLS 3-<4 FEET 2-<3 FEET 1-<2 FEET <1 FOOT 16 4 2 40 œ ဖ N # OF POOLS


MAXIMUM DEPTH

GRAPH 5


CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002 Percent embeddedness




CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002 MEAN PERCENT COVER TYPES IN POOLS




CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002 SUBSTRATE COMPOSITION IN POOL TAIL-OUTS




CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002 MEAN PERCENT CANOPY



CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002 DOMINANT BANK COMPOSITION IN SURVEY REACH



CABIN MEADOWS CREEK 2002 DOMINANT BANK VEGETATION IN SURVEY REACH



		₹ \$